

Vizuem Sample Executive Report - Sample...

Accessibility Intelligence

Accessibility posture, evidence, scan context and digital risk signals.

WCAG 2.2 AA · Section 508 · ADA Context

- 

SCANNED DOMAIN
sample-municipality.example
- 



SCAN DATE
Aug 1, 2026
- 

SCAN TIME
2:30 PM UTC
- 

PAGES SCANNED
150
- 

SCAN TYPE
Full Site
- 

PREPARED BY
Vizuem



Accessibility Posture

Evidence-first summary of customer-visible accessibility signals.

Standards Context: WCAG 2.2 AA · Section 508 · ADA Context

CUSTOMER-VISIBLE EVIDENCE

186

Evidence Identified

14

Evidence Buckets

8

Critical Evidence

ACCESSIBILITY POSTURE

72

MODERATE

Previous68

Current72

Change+4

Signal reflects moderate evidence concentration. Posture movement is shown when a prior scan is available.

SUPPORTING SIGNALS			
Evidence Pattern	New39	Repeat147	Repeat Rate (%)79
Scan Quality	Pages150	Reliability (%)98	Broken Targets11

ASSISTANCE FEATURES

No assistance features observed across 9 tracked features. Tracked visual, reading, motion, translation, and cursor assistance features were not observed in this scan. Supporting signals: 0 of 2 observed.

KEY INSIGHTS

Primary POUR Area:Perceivable

Strongest Severity:Critical

Most Common Severity:Medium

Pages Scanned:150

Evidence Buckets:14 detected

SEVERITY DISTRIBUTION

CRITICAL8

HIGH41

MEDIUM72

LOW49

INFO16

Evidence Workspace

Review all 186 evidence items with filters, exports, ownership, and rescan follow-up.

Evidence Intelligence

A management-level explanation of the evidence behind the posture summary.

What the posture summary reflects

This page explains the posture summary through selected evidence examples and scan-level patterns.

Evidence Surfaced
186

Primary User Impact
Screen reader users a...

Strongest Signal
CRITICAL

Top Reference
WCAG 2.2 - 1.3.1 Info...

Representative Evidence

Selected examples prioritized by severity, frequency, and evidence context.

CRITICAL

Interactive control does not expose an accessible...

Developer Review

Page: Sample service template 1 - WCAG 2.2 - 4.1.2 Name, Role, Value
Contexts: WCAG 2.2 A - Section 508 - ADA Context

Impact: People using screen readers may hear an unlabeled control and may not understand its purpose before activatin...

Evidence context: Provide a concise accessible name that communicates the control's purpose and remains consistent...

Primary signal: Screen reader users, Voice control users - Inferred signal

HIGH

Form field instructions are not programmatically a...

Developer Review

Page: Sample service template 2 - WCAG 2.2 - 3.3.2 Labels or Instructions
Contexts: WCAG 2.2 A - Section 508 - ADA Context

Impact: People using assistive technology may not receive the same instructions, formatting expectations, or error-preve...

Evidence context: Associate the instruction with the field using an appropriate native or ARIA relationship and verify the r...

Primary signal: Screen reader users, People with cognitive and learning disabilities - Inferred signal

HIGH

Text and background colors may not provide suffic...

Design Review

Page: Sample service template 3 - WCAG 2.2 - 1.4.3 Contrast (Minimum)
Contexts: WCAG 2.2 AA - Section 508 - ADA Context

Impact: People with low vision, color-vision differences, or reduced contrast sensitivity may have difficulty reading import...

Evidence context: Review the design token or component color pairing, adjust the foreground or background value, and v...

Primary signal: People with low vision, People with color-vision differences - Inferred signal

Continue in the Evidence Workspace

Showing 3 representative items. Use the Evidence Workspace for all 186 evidence items, filters, exports, review context, and rescan follow-up.

Evidence Patterns

A management-level view of where evidence is concentrated across the scan.

What the evidence pattern suggests

Evidence is most concentrated in Perceivable. Critical is the strongest active severity present.

Signal Instances

186

Evidence Buckets

14

Top POUR

Perceivable (P)

Strongest Severity

Critical

Evidence by POUR principle

Where the evidence is concentrated across customer experience areas.

Perceivable (P)

42 %

79 evidence

Text alternatives, captions, adaptable layouts, and sufficient contrast.

Operable (O)

26 %

48 evidence

Keyboard access, focus visibility, timing controls, and safe navigation.

Understandable (U)

17 %

31 evidence

Clear labels, predictable behavior, error identification, and instructions.

Robust (R)

15 %

28 evidence

Proper semantics, name/role/value, and valid markup for compatibility.

Severity pattern

Severity mix across evidence signals.

Critical

8 4%

High

41 22%

Medium

72 39%

Low

49 26%

Info

16 9%

Pattern context

Perceivable evidence has the highest concentration in this scan.

Critical is the strongest active severity present.

Medium is the most frequent severity pattern at 39% of evidence signals.

Continue from pattern view

Open the Evidence Workspace for page-level evidence, review context, exports, and rescan follow-up.

Scan Context

Scan coverage, reliability, digital risk, and document context behind the report summary.

Scan record context

Coverage, reliability, checked targets, and document signals available for this scan.

Pages Scanned	Coverage Rate (%)	Reliability (%)	Broken Targets
150	91	96	11

Coverage & Reliability

Coverage, completion, and run quality.

Selected Scope	Full Site
Coverage Status	Broad
Pages Discovered	164
Pages Scanned	150
Successful Pages	147
Coverage Rate (%)	91
Success Rate (%)	98
Blocked Robots.txt	3 1
Timeouts Fetch Failed	1 1
Non-HTML Skipped	14
Crawl Duration	3m 3s

Digital Risk Signals

Checked targets and signal categories.

Risk Signal	Elevated signal
Links Checked	2,384
Broken Occurrences	23
Unique Broken Targets	11
Bad Rate (%)	1.0
Critical Server Errors	3
Timeouts	2
Redirect Chains	4
DNS Other Errors	1 1
Restricted Rate Limited	5 2

Document Coverage

Detected and scanned documents.

Documents Detected	22
Documents Scanned	18
Documents with Evidence	7
Document Coverage (%)	82

Scan context notes

Scanned 150 of 164 discovered pages.
23 broken target occurrences surfaced.
Document coverage reflects 18 scanned of 22 detected documents.

Continue from scan context

Dashboard views provide Scan Detail, Digital Risk context, exports, and rescan follow-up.



How to Read This Report

A compact legend for the report sections, labels, and values shown in this PDF.

Vizuem report legend

This PDF summarizes automated evidence from this scan: 186 evidence items across 150 scanned pages.

Accessibility Posture

The executive summary of the scan result, including score, evidence volume, severity mix, supporting signals, and key insights.

Evidence Identified

The customer-visible evidence count used by the report and dashboard for this scan.

New / Repeat / Repeat Rate (%)

New means first observed in this scan. Repeat means also observed before. Repeat Rate (%) is the repeat share of compared evidence.

Scan Context

Context signals that help interpret scan quality, coverage, scan settings, page behavior, and related risk indicators.

Key Insights

Short scan-level observations generated from the evidence, scan settings, and integrity context available for this run.

Severity Distribution

A count of evidence by severity so reviewers can understand concentration and relative signal strength.

Evidence Intelligence

Representative examples prioritized by severity, frequency, and evidence context to explain the posture summary.

Evidence Patterns

Grouped evidence by POUR area and severity to show where evidence is concentrated and which severity is strongest.

Scan Reliability

Coverage and crawl context, including pages scanned, response timing, blocked pages, and error-rate indicators.

Broken Targets

Digital risk context for confirmed broken targets found during link and page checks.

Expanded context

This page explains the PDF basics. The Vizuem Product Guide in the dashboard provides expanded definitions when needed.